

catena-Poly[[diaquarubidium(I)]-(μ_2 -3-carboxypyrazine-2-carboxylato)-(μ_2 -pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid)]

Mustafa Tombul^{a*} and Kutalmis Guven^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Art and Science, University of Kirikkale, Campus, Yahsihan, 71450 Kirikkale, Turkey, and ^bDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Art and Science, University of Kirikkale, Campus, Yahsihan, 71450 Kirikkale, Turkey
Correspondence e-mail: mustafatombul38@gmail.com


Received 25 November 2008; accepted 15 January 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298$ K; mean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.006$ Å; R factor = 0.053; wR factor = 0.219; data-to-parameter ratio = 38.0.

The structural unit of the title compound, $[Rb(C_6H_3N_2O_4)-(C_6H_4N_2O_4)(H_2O)_2]_n$, consists of one rubidium cation, one hydrogen pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylate anion, one pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid molecule and two water molecules. This formulation is repeated twice in the asymmetric unit as the rubidium cation lies on an inversion centre. Each anion or acid molecule is linked to two rubidium cations, while the rubidium cation has close contacts to four symmetry-equivalent organic ligands, with two different coordination modes towards this cation. In addition, each rubidium cation is coordinated by two water O atoms, raising the coordination number to eight. One of the carboxyl groups of the acid holds its H atom, which forms a hydrogen bond to a coordinated water molecule. The other carboxyl group is deprotonated in half of the ligands and protonated in the other half, taking part in a strong O—H···O hydrogen bond disordered over an inversion centre. The stabilization of the crystal structure is further assisted by O—H···O and O—H···N hydrogen-bonding interactions involving the water molecules and carboxylate O atoms.

Related literature

Pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (Takusagawa & Shimada, 1973) and its dianion (Richard *et al.*, 1973; Nepveu *et al.*, 1993) have been used in the construction of multi-dimensional frameworks. A variety of metal-pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid complexes have been characterized, including the calcium (Ptasiewicz-Bak & Leciejewicz, 1997a; Starosta & Leciejewicz, 2005), magnesium (Ptasiewicz-Bak & Leciejewicz, 1997b), sodium (Tombul *et al.*, 2006), caesium (Tombul *et al.*, 2007), potassium (Tombul *et al.*, 2008a) and lithium (Tombul *et al.*, 2008b) complexes. For Rb—N bond lengths, see: Yang *et al.* (2008); Cametti *et al.* (2005); Wiesbrock & Schmidbaur (2003); Shannon (1976); Devi & Vidyasagar (2000).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[Rb(C_6H_3N_2O_4)(C_6H_4N_2O_4) \cdot (H_2O)_2]$	$\beta = 81.424$ (19) $^\circ$
$M_r = 456.72$	$\gamma = 65.322$ (18) $^\circ$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$V = 414.32$ (16) Å 3
$a = 7.452$ (2) Å	$Z = 1$
$b = 7.8640$ (15) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$c = 8.3280$ (12) Å	$\mu = 3.05$ mm $^{-1}$
$\alpha = 69.111$ (12) $^\circ$	$T = 298$ (2) K

0.20 × 0.15 × 0.06 mm

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7S diffractometer	1604 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: numerical (Clark & Reid, 1995)	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.048$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.581$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.838$	3 standard reflections
5329 measured reflections	every 150 reflections
5057 independent reflections	intensity decay: none

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.219$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.35$ e Å $^{-3}$
$S = 0.98$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.91$ e Å $^{-3}$
5057 reflections	
133 parameters	
1 restraint	

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.219$
 $S = 0.98$
5057 reflections
133 parameters
1 restraint

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Rb1—O3 ⁱ	2.987 (3)	Rb1—O5	3.096 (4)
Rb1—N2 ⁱⁱ	3.007 (3)	Rb1—O1	3.137 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 1, -z$; (ii) $x + 1, y - 1, z$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
O3—H1···O3 ^{iv}	0.93	1.55 (1)	2.468 (3)	170 (1)
O5—H5A···N1	0.84 (9)	2.07 (9)	2.888 (3)	168 (7)
O5—H5B···O4 ^v	0.82 (6)	1.94 (6)	2.753 (3)	170.39 (5)
O2—H2···O5 ^{vi}	0.82	1.79	2.596 (6)	166 (1)

Symmetry codes: (iv) $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z$; (v) $x, y - 1, z$; (vi) $-x + 2, -y, -z + 1$.

Data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN for Windows* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to

refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2009).

The authors gratefully acknowledge Kırıkkale University Scientific Research Centre for financial support of this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2450).

References

- Cametti, M., Nissinen, M., Cort, A. D., Mandolini, L. & Rissanen, K. (2005). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 3831–3837.
- Clark, R. C. & Reid, J. S. (1995). *Acta Cryst. A* **51**, 887–897.
- Devi, R. N. & Vidyasagar, K. (2000). *Inorg. Chem.* **39**, 2391–2396.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **39**, 453–457.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1997). *TEXSAN for Windows* and *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*. MSC, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Nepveu, F., Berkaoui, M. H. & Walz, L. (1993). *Acta Cryst. C* **49**, 1465–1466.
- Ptasiewicz-Bak, H. & Leciejewicz, J. (1997a). *Pol. J. Chem.* **71**, 493–500.
- Ptasiewicz-Bak, H. & Leciejewicz, J. (1997b). *Pol. J. Chem.* **71**, 1603–1610.
- Richard, P., Tran Qui, D. & Bertaut, E. F. (1973). *Acta Cryst. B* **29**, 1111–1115.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). *Acta Cryst. A* **32**, 751–767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Starosta, W. & Leciejewicz, J. (2005). *J. Coord. Chem.* **58**, 963–968.
- Takusagawa, T. & Shimada, A. (1973). *Chem. Lett.* pp. 1121–1126.
- Tombul, M., Güven, K. & Alkiş, N. (2006). *Acta Cryst. E* **62**, m945–m947.
- Tombul, M., Güven, K. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2007). *Acta Cryst. E* **63**, m1783–m1784.
- Tombul, M., Güven, K. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2008b). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m491–m492.
- Tombul, M., Güven, K. & Svoboda, I. (2008a). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m246–m247.
- Westrip, S. P. (2009). *publCIF*. In preparation.
- Wiesbrock, F. & Schmidbaur, H. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* **42**, 7283–7289.
- Yang, Z., Hu, M. & Wang, X. (2008). *Acta Cryst. E* **64**, m225.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m213-m214 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809002001]

catena-Poly[[diaquarubidium(I)](μ_2 -3-carboxypyrazine-2-carboxylato)(μ_2 -pyrazine-2,3-di-carboxylic acid)]

M. Tombul and K. Guven

Comment

Pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (Takusagawa & Shimada, 1973) and its dianion (Richard *et al.*, 1973; Nepveu *et al.*, 1993) have been reported to be well suited for the construction of multidimensional frameworks (nD , $n = 1\text{--}3$), owing to the presence of two adjacent carboxylate groups (O donor atoms) as substituents on the N-heterocyclic pyrazine ring (N donor atoms). In recent years, a variety of metal-organic compound of pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid have been characterized crystallographically owing to growing interest in supramolecular chemistry. Examples are including the calcium (Ptasiewicz-Bak & Leciejewicz, 1997a; Starosta & Leciejewicz, 2005), magnesium (Ptasiewicz-Bak & Leciejewicz, 1997b), sodium (Tombul *et al.*, 2006), caesium (Tombul *et al.*, 2007) and potassium (Tombul *et al.*, 2008a) and lithium (Tombul *et al.*, 2008b) complexes. Continuation our research on Group I dicarboxylates, we present here the synthesis and crystal structure of the hydrated polymeric rubidium complex, (I), formed with pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid.

The structural unit of the title compound, (I), contains one rubidium cation, one hydrogen pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylate anion, one pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid molecule and two water molecules; this is twice in the asymmetric unit, since the rubidium ion lies on an inversion centre. This compound is isostructural with the corresponding potassium and caesium complexes, which are fully described previously (Tombul *et al.*, 2008a; Tombul *et al.*, 2007). Pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid is, on average, only half deprotonated at one of the carboxylate groups (O_3^{ii} and O_3^{iii}) complete the charge balance of the cation. Taking a larger domain of the crystal structure, the anion or acid molecule is linked to two rubidium cations, while the Rb^+ cation is surrounded by four organic ligands, two of which are coordinated by employing both N and O atoms and the other two are coordinated solely by O atoms. In addition, each rubidium cation is coordinated by two water molecules, raising a coordination number of eight. The inner coordination sphere accommodates comprises six oxygen atoms (O_1 , O_1^1 , O_3^{ii} , O_3^{iii} , O_5 and O_5^1), together with two nitrogen atoms (N_2^{ii} and N_2^{iii}). The planes of the carboxylic/carboxylate groups ($O_1/C_1/C_2/O_2$) and ($O_3/C_6/C_5/O_4$) form dihedral angles with the ring ($C_2/C_3/C_4/C_5/N_1/N_2$) plane of 75.87 (16) and 6.65 (21), respectively. The $Rb\text{---}O$ distances are in the range from 2.987 (3) Å to 3.137 (3) Å, which are well within the range reported in the literature for other rubidium complexes (Yang *et al.*, 2008; Cametti *et al.*, 2005; Wiesbrock & Schmidbaur, 2003; Shannon, 1976; Devi & Vidyasagar, 2000). $Rb\text{---}N$ bond lengths also lie within the normal ranges found for similar bonds in the literature (Yang *et al.*, 2008).

In the crystal structure, an asymmetric strong hydrogen bond occurs, linking carboxylate O atoms $O\text{---}H\cdots O$ [$O\cdots O = 2.468$ (3) 2.596 (2) Å respectively]. Atom H1 is involved in this bond and maintains the charge balance within the structure. The water molecules are involved in normal, slightly bent, hydrogen bonds with hydrogen pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylate (Table 2); the acceptors are carboxylate O atoms and N atoms of the aromatic ring. The polymeric complex is linked in a three-dimensional manner by further numerous intermolecular $O\text{---}H\cdots O$ and $O\text{---}H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

supplementary materials

Experimental

Rb₂CO₃ (462 mg, 2 mmol) was carefully added to an aqueous solution (20 ml) of pyrazine 2,3-dicarboxylic acid (672 mg, 4 mmol), until no further bubbles formed. The reaction mixture produced a colourless and clear solution which was stirred at 323 K for 200 min., until it solidified. The solid product was then redissolved in water (5 ml) and allowed to stand for three days at ambient temperature, after which transparent fine crystals were harvested.

Refinement

The H5A atom of water molecule was located in a difference map. The position of H5B atom was obtained from difference map and then its position was refined with riding constraint. The other hydhydrogen atoms (H1, H2, H3, H4) were repositioned geometrically. (C—H = 0.93 Å, O—H = 0.93 Å and O—H = 0.82 Å) and U_{iso}~(H) (in the range 1.2–1.5 times U_{eq} of the parent atom).

Figures




Fig. 1. A perspective view diagram of the structure of (I) together with asymmetric units. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z, (ii) 1 + x, y - 1, z, (iii) -x + 2, -y, -z, (vii) x - 1, y + 1, z].




Fig. 2. Hydrogen bond diagram of (I). Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. (H atoms of carbons were omitted for clarity).

catena-Poly[[diaquarubidium(I)](μ_2 -3-carboxypyrazine-2- carboxylato)(μ_2 -pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid)]

Crystal data

[Rb(C ₆ H ₃ N ₂ O ₄)(C ₆ H ₄ N ₂ O ₄)(H ₂ O) ₂]	Z = 1
M _r = 456.72	F ₀₀₀ = 228
Triclinic, P [−] 1	D _x = 1.830 Mg m ^{−3}
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo K α radiation
a = 7.452 (2) Å	λ = 0.71073 Å
b = 7.8640 (15) Å	Cell parameters from 25 reflections
c = 8.3280 (12) Å	θ = 2.6–9.2°
α = 69.111 (12)°	μ = 3.05 mm ^{−1}
β = 81.424 (19)°	T = 298 (2) K
γ = 65.322 (18)°	Prism, colourless
V = 414.32 (16) Å ³	0.20 × 0.15 × 0.06 mm

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7S diffractometer	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.048$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 40.0^\circ$
Monochromator: graphite	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.6^\circ$
$T = 298(2)$ K	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
ω -2 θ scans	$k = -12 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction: numerical (Clark & Reid, 1995)	$l = 0 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.581$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.838$	3 standard reflections
5329 measured reflections	every 150 reflections
5057 independent reflections	intensity decay: none
1604 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.219$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0711P)^2 + 0.5448P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 0.98$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.002$
5057 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
133 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -1.91 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $F_c^* = kF_c[1 + 0.001xF_c^2\lambda^3/\sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.093 (11)

Special details

Experimental. [analytical numeric absorption correction using a multifaceted crystal model based on expressions derived (Clark & Reid, 1995)]

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

supplementary materials

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
Rb1	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0474 (3)	
O1	0.9512 (4)	0.1940 (5)	0.2826 (4)	0.0451 (7)	
O2	0.8035 (5)	0.3205 (6)	0.4927 (4)	0.0555 (9)	
H2	0.9157	0.2906	0.5229	0.083*	
O3	0.4264 (4)	0.8765 (4)	0.0673 (4)	0.0472 (7)	
H1	0.4742	0.9762	0.0256	0.071*	0.50
O4	0.7256 (4)	0.6622 (5)	0.1716 (5)	0.0497 (8)	
O5	0.8582 (5)	-0.1900 (5)	0.3618 (5)	0.0520 (8)	
N1	0.5456 (5)	0.1950 (5)	0.3236 (4)	0.0367 (7)	
N2	0.2959 (4)	0.5834 (5)	0.1521 (4)	0.0333 (6)	
C1	0.8065 (5)	0.2816 (5)	0.3520 (5)	0.0335 (7)	
C2	0.6004 (5)	0.3460 (5)	0.2892 (4)	0.0307 (7)	
C3	0.3632 (6)	0.2399 (6)	0.2753 (5)	0.0390 (8)	
H3	0.3190	0.1392	0.2980	0.047*	
C4	0.2388 (6)	0.4346 (6)	0.1918 (5)	0.0372 (8)	
H4	0.1117	0.4612	0.1629	0.045*	
C5	0.4790 (5)	0.5392 (5)	0.1997 (4)	0.0297 (7)	
C6	0.5508 (6)	0.7044 (5)	0.1459 (5)	0.0344 (8)	
H5A	0.761 (12)	-0.087 (12)	0.364 (10)	0.10 (3)*	
H5B	0.816 (8)	-0.243 (8)	0.316 (6)	0.057 (16)*	

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Rb1	0.0433 (4)	0.0367 (3)	0.0434 (4)	-0.0028 (2)	-0.0063 (2)	-0.0051 (2)
O1	0.0316 (14)	0.0510 (17)	0.0607 (19)	-0.0160 (13)	-0.0025 (13)	-0.0265 (15)
O2	0.0423 (16)	0.079 (2)	0.0460 (17)	-0.0112 (16)	-0.0128 (13)	-0.0312 (17)
O3	0.0395 (15)	0.0302 (13)	0.069 (2)	-0.0180 (12)	-0.0093 (14)	-0.0036 (13)
O4	0.0393 (15)	0.0428 (16)	0.075 (2)	-0.0207 (13)	-0.0172 (14)	-0.0157 (15)
O5	0.0471 (18)	0.0489 (18)	0.066 (2)	-0.0120 (15)	-0.0233 (15)	-0.0253 (16)
N1	0.0360 (16)	0.0293 (14)	0.0426 (17)	-0.0139 (12)	-0.0078 (13)	-0.0053 (12)
N2	0.0290 (14)	0.0350 (15)	0.0375 (16)	-0.0144 (12)	-0.0070 (12)	-0.0088 (12)
C1	0.0304 (17)	0.0321 (17)	0.0395 (19)	-0.0143 (14)	-0.0057 (14)	-0.0089 (14)
C2	0.0299 (16)	0.0340 (17)	0.0304 (17)	-0.0148 (14)	-0.0030 (13)	-0.0093 (13)
C3	0.040 (2)	0.0370 (19)	0.046 (2)	-0.0223 (16)	-0.0045 (16)	-0.0094 (16)
C4	0.0324 (17)	0.043 (2)	0.041 (2)	-0.0219 (16)	-0.0042 (15)	-0.0096 (16)
C5	0.0307 (16)	0.0325 (16)	0.0300 (16)	-0.0147 (13)	-0.0050 (13)	-0.0100 (13)
C6	0.0397 (19)	0.0314 (17)	0.0400 (19)	-0.0200 (15)	-0.0049 (15)	-0.0113 (14)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Rb1—O3 ⁱ	2.987 (3)	O4—C6	1.234 (5)
Rb1—O3 ⁱⁱ	2.987 (3)	O5—H5A	0.84 (9)
Rb1—N2 ⁱⁱ	3.007 (3)	O5—H5B	0.82 (6)

Rb1—N2 ⁱ	3.007 (3)	N1—C2	1.336 (5)
Rb1—O5	3.096 (4)	N1—C3	1.340 (5)
Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.096 (4)	N2—C4	1.326 (5)
Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.137 (3)	N2—C5	1.344 (4)
Rb1—O1	3.137 (3)	N2—Rb1 ^{iv}	3.007 (3)
Rb1—H5B	3.16 (5)	C1—C2	1.513 (5)
O1—C1	1.204 (5)	C2—C5	1.389 (5)
O2—C1	1.307 (5)	C3—C4	1.391 (6)
O2—H2	0.8210	C3—H3	0.9300
O3—C6	1.274 (5)	C4—H4	0.9300
O3—Rb1 ^{iv}	2.987 (3)	C5—C6	1.506 (5)
O3—H1	0.9299		
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O3 ⁱⁱ	180.00 (14)	O5 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—H5B	165.0 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—N2 ⁱⁱ	126.56 (8)	O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—H5B	104.1 (4)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—N2 ⁱⁱ	53.44 (8)	O1—Rb1—H5B	76.0 (10)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—N2 ⁱ	53.44 (8)	C1—O1—Rb1	131.4 (2)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—N2 ⁱ	126.56 (8)	C1—O2—H2	111.2
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—N2 ⁱ	180.0	C6—O3—Rb1 ^{iv}	128.1 (2)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O5	78.94 (9)	C6—O3—H1	116.0
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O5	101.06 (9)	Rb1 ^{iv} —O3—H1	115.9
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O5	70.89 (9)	Rb1—O5—H5A	95 (5)
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—O5	109.11 (9)	Rb1—O5—H5B	87 (4)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	101.06 (9)	H5A—O5—H5B	104 (6)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	78.94 (9)	C2—N1—C3	116.8 (3)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	109.11 (9)	C4—N2—C5	117.1 (3)
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	70.89 (9)	C4—N2—Rb1 ^{iv}	119.0 (2)
O5—Rb1—O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00 (13)	C5—N2—Rb1 ^{iv}	123.5 (2)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	81.24 (8)	O1—C1—O2	126.2 (3)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	98.76 (8)	O1—C1—C2	121.8 (3)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	76.07 (8)	O2—C1—C2	111.8 (3)
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	103.93 (8)	N1—C2—C5	121.9 (3)
O5—Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	118.29 (9)	N1—C2—C1	113.0 (3)
O5 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	61.71 (9)	C5—C2—C1	125.1 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O1	98.76 (8)	N1—C3—C4	121.2 (3)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1	81.24 (8)	N1—C3—H3	119.4
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1	103.93 (8)	C4—C3—H3	119.4
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—O1	76.07 (8)	N2—C4—C3	122.0 (3)
O5—Rb1—O1	61.71 (9)	N2—C4—H4	119.0
O5 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1	118.29 (9)	C3—C4—H4	119.0
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1	180.00 (14)	N2—C5—C2	120.9 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—H5B	70.4 (9)	N2—C5—C6	117.8 (3)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—H5B	109.6 (9)	C2—C5—C6	121.2 (3)

supplementary materials

N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—H5B	69.3 (10)	O4—C6—O3	125.3 (3)
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—H5B	110.7 (10)	O4—C6—C5	118.4 (3)
O5—Rb1—H5B	15.1 (10)	O3—C6—C5	116.1 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Rb1—O1—C1	4.0 (4)	Rb1 ^{iv} —N2—C4—C3	-174.7 (3)
O3 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1—C1	-176.0 (4)	N1—C3—C4—N2	1.8 (7)
N2 ⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1—C1	-127.2 (4)	C4—N2—C5—C2	-1.2 (5)
N2 ⁱ —Rb1—O1—C1	52.8 (4)	Rb1 ^{iv} —N2—C5—C2	171.6 (3)
O5—Rb1—O1—C1	-68.3 (4)	C4—N2—C5—C6	176.2 (3)
O5 ⁱⁱⁱ —Rb1—O1—C1	111.7 (4)	Rb1 ^{iv} —N2—C5—C6	-11.0 (4)
Rb1—O1—C1—O2	164.6 (3)	N1—C2—C5—N2	3.7 (6)
Rb1—O1—C1—C2	-10.4 (6)	C1—C2—C5—N2	-178.5 (3)
C3—N1—C2—C5	-3.4 (6)	N1—C2—C5—C6	-173.6 (3)
C3—N1—C2—C1	178.7 (3)	C1—C2—C5—C6	4.2 (6)
O1—C1—C2—N1	72.3 (5)	Rb1 ^{iv} —O3—C6—O4	-179.2 (3)
O2—C1—C2—N1	-103.3 (4)	Rb1 ^{iv} —O3—C6—C5	5.3 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C5	-105.6 (5)	N2—C5—C6—O4	-171.9 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C5	78.8 (5)	C2—C5—C6—O4	5.5 (6)
C2—N1—C3—C4	0.7 (6)	N2—C5—C6—O3	3.9 (5)
C5—N2—C4—C3	-1.5 (6)	C2—C5—C6—O3	-178.7 (4)


Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z$; (ii) $x+1, y-1, z$; (iii) $-x+2, -y, -z$; (iv) $x-1, y+1, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
O3—H1 \cdots O3 ^v	0.93	1.55 (1)	2.468 (3)	170 (1)
O5—H5A \cdots N1	0.84 (9)	2.07 (9)	2.888 (3)	168 (7)
O5—H5B \cdots O4 ^{vi}	0.82 (6)	1.94 (6)	2.753 (3)	170.39 (5)
O2—H2 \cdots O5 ^{vii}	0.82	1.79	2.596 (6)	166 (1)

Symmetry codes: (v) $-x+1, -y+2, -z$; (vi) $x, y-1, z$; (vii) $-x+2, -y, -z+1$.

Fig. 1



supplementary materials

Fig. 2

